

AMENDMENT UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.114(c)
U.S. Appl. No.: 10/517,369

Attorney Docket No.: Q84992

AMENDMENTS TO THE DRAWINGS

Attachment: Figs. 3-6 are being added to illustrate the steps of the embodied method described in the Specification.

Attachments: 4 New Sheets of Drawings

REMARKS

Claims 1, 3-11, 14-22 and 28-30 are all the claims pending in the application. Claims 1, 2, 12 and 13 are being canceled. Claims 3-11 and 14-22 are being amended. Claims 28-30 are being added.

I. Drawings/Statement of Substance of Interview

The Examiner maintained the previous objection to the drawings under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The Applicant conducted a telephone interview with the Examiner on April 30, 2009, to discuss the corrections needed to overcome the rejection. The Examiner suggested adding additional method drawings illustrating the method steps taken by the server, as described in the Applicant's Specification, starting at p. 4, line 27. The Examiner indicated that the addition of these drawings would eliminate the objection to the drawings.

The Applicant herein submits new Figures 3-6, illustrating the claimed method steps mentioned by the Examiner. The detailed description has been amended only to include the references to the new drawings. No new matter has been added. The Applicant believes that all necessary claim elements are fully illustrated in the drawings, and respectfully requests that the objection to the drawings be withdrawn.

II. Claim Objections

The Examiner objected to claims 1-22 under 37 CFR 1.75 for generally failing to conform to US practice.

The Applicant herein cancels claims 1 and 12 and submits new claims 28 and 29 to correct the various informalities suggested by the Examiner. Claims 3-11 and 14-22 have been amended to correct other informalities pointed out by the Examiner and to correct the claim dependencies. No new matter has being added.

III. Claim Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. § 103

The Examiner rejected claims 1-22 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mani (US 2002/0188725 A1), and in further view of Clark (US 5,490,251) and Katinakis et al (US 6,389,039 B1).

Claims 28 and 29

The Applicant herein cancels claims 1 and 12 and submits claims 28 and 29 to more clearly describe some of the novel aspects of the embodied invention. Furthermore, the limitations of claim 2 have been incorporated into claim 28, and the limitations of claim 13 incorporated into claim 29. Claims 2 and 13 pertained to sending configuration data to a terminal once a connection has been set up with the server using a selected primary identifier, where the setup of the connection constitutes the selected criterion. Neither Mani, Clark nor Katinakis, taken alone or in combination, provide the requisite teaching, suggestion or motivation to obviate the embodiment described in claims 28 and 29.

Specifically, the cited references fail to describe where configuration data is sent as a function of a selected criterion, that criterion being the setup of a connection by the terminal with the server using a selected primary identifier.

Mani is limited to an access control application for verifying whether a terminal is permitted to connect to a network. Mani does not send configuration data as a function of a selected criterion, and Mani further does not disclose where the selected criterion is the set up of a connection by the terminal with the server. In contrast, the access control server in Mani sends configuration data *before a connection is set up with the private network*, as Mani is directed to preventing a connection to a network until the user at the terminal has been verified.

Katinakis provides no discussion of configuration data or where the data is sent as a function of a selected criterion, as Katinakis is limited to simply transferring data to and from a mobile station using additional channels.

Clark similarly does not discuss sending configuration data upon establishment of a connection or where the connection is a selected criterion, as Clark is limited simply to the use of exchanging information over a signaling channel.

Claims 3-11 and 14-22

The Applicant refers the Examiner to the arguments presented above with regard to claims 28 and 29, and submits that claims 3-11 and 14-22 are allowable at least based on their dependency to claims 28 and 29, respectively.

Claim 30

The Applicant adds claim 30, directed to “a gateway that manages information displayed on a display of the terminal during the voice connection and offers services to the terminal via the display, wherein the services offered are related to the circumstances of the voice connection.” Support for claim 30 is found in the Specification on p. 11, lines 13-19. Neither Mani, Clark nor Katinakis teach, disclose or suggest a gateway that manages information on the

terminal display such that services offered to the user on the display are related to the circumstances of the call.

IV. Conclusion

In view of the above, reconsideration and allowance of this application are now believed to be in order, and such actions are hereby solicited. If any points remain in issue which the Examiner feels may be best resolved through a personal or telephone interview, the Examiner is kindly requested to contact the undersigned at the telephone number listed below

The USPTO is directed and authorized to charge all required fees, except for the Issue Fee and the Publication Fee, to Deposit Account No. 19-4880. Please also credit any overpayments to said Deposit Account.

Respectfully submitted,

/Scott H. Davison/

SUGHRUE MION, PLLC
Telephone: (202) 293-7060
Facsimile: (202) 293-7860

WASHINGTON OFFICE

23373

CUSTOMER NUMBER

Date: May 14, 2009

Scott H. Davison
Registration No. 52,800